MEDS 370 -- INTRODUCTORY NEUROSCIENCE

Introductory Class August 24, 2001 Dick Mains <u>mains@uchc.edu</u> x8894 <u>MEDS 370 Syllabus</u>

Course times: Fri 9 am, EG-052; Aug. 24, 2001 – Dec. 21, 2001

Course style: lectures; 2 short answer take-home exams in the middle & end of the course <u>SIGN IN – important !!! Neatly, especially e-mail !</u>

Prerequisites: Working knowledge of cell biology and biochemistry; NO PRIOR TRAINING in neuroscience necessary. This course is designed for all Biomedical Science students and is encouraged for Neuroscience graduate students.

Faculty contacts: Les Bernstein (<u>les@neuron.uchc.edu</u>); Dick Mains (<u>mains@uchc.edu</u>); Doug Oliver (<u>doliver@neuron.uchc.edu</u>)

Organization of the course: in 3 blocks:

 Action potential conduction and synaptic function how signals travel along axons; travel between neurons and targets mix of electrophysiology, biochemistry, molecular biology Neurotransmitter synthesis, storage, secretion, action

what the signals do at end of axon; how signals got started

- <u>2</u>] Development of the nervous system
 - how it all gets wired up; how neurons achieve their mature identities
- 3] Systems neurobiology

obvious from its title including intro to psychiatric and neurological diseases

Handout styles, lecture styles, taking notes: you will see that there are as many styles of lecture and handout as there are faculty in this course. Some handouts are in sentences, some in outline form. Faculty will use slides, overheads, powerpoint, the whiteboard. Often the most important things are in the handouts, *but taking notes is an <u>essential</u> skill to develop*, if you wish to get the most benefit from courses, from seminars and from meetings (e.g. Neuroscience and other departmental Research Seminars and Journal Clubs; Society for Neuroscience, Keystone Symposia, Gordon Conferences).

BUY ONE !!! Textbooks are crucial:

at UCHC Med School Bookstore

Recommended:

Kandel, Schwartz, Jessell 2000 <u>Essentials of Neural Science & Behavior, 4th Ed.</u>, Appleton Lange

Also good:

Zigmond, Bloom, Landis, Roberts, Squire 1999 <u>Fundamental Neuroscience</u>, Academic Press Purves et al 2001 <u>Neuroscience</u>, 2nd Ed, Sinauer Matthews 1998 Neurobiology; molecules, cells, systems, Blackwell Science Grading: Based on a written take-home (hence open book/notes) exams (Given out Oct. 11, due TYPED Oct. 19; and given out Dec. 14, due Dec. 21)

************************ Your effort matters, especially if you are having trouble

Exams are simple straightforward written answers, usually a few paragraphs; we **will post** some similar exams **WITH ANSWERS** from last year's course and related courses at other institutions, accessible only from within the UCHC electronic network. Our goal is to teach you about neuroscience, not grade you, but unfortunately we do have to give grades. Our goal would be to give all A's.

Auditors

are welcome, in fact strongly encouraged. Especially postdocs, senior grad students, etc.

Lecture Outline:

Reading: Kandel 4th Ed. (2000) pages 5-86; 175-185; 253-277 (Ch. 1-4;10;14) <u>NUMBERS don't matter, the concepts DO matter</u>

Why do we care so much about neurons? What makes neurobiology special?

There are ~10,000 distinct types of neurons in the central nervous system [CNS] and glial cells outnumber neurons about **10:1**

The "average" neuron receives perhaps 10,000 synaptic inputs (range 0 - 1,000,000) >100 types of ion channels

>100 different communication molecules (neurotransmitters)

A major difference between humans and other animals is our nervous systems Hydra and other coelenterates have **Nerve Nets with all neurons ~ equal** no hierarchy; all neurons are both sensory and motor/output

cephalization = concentration of neurons at one end goes with appearance of **interneurons** in flatworms Words to know for future: **Convergence ... Divergence**

What is "special" about neurons?

action potentials? neurotransmitters? receptors? ion channels? polarity? **NONE** of these attributes is unique to neurons. Defining a neuron is not our goal.

Non-neuronal cells matter too

targets of neurons (muscles, glands); glial cells; induction during development; effects on action potential (e.g. glial cells as K+ buffer); nutritive support

History of cellular/molecular neuroscience (extremely capsulated)

- ~1800 electrical basis of neuronal signaling recognized
- ~1900 cell theory of neurons proposed
- 1920s neurotransmitters recognized as means of synaptic communication
- 1940s/50s biophysics of electrical signaling initially understood
- 1950s brain circuitry recognized; concept of topographical mapping EM revealed fine structure of axons, dendrites, synapses idea that synapse, not neuron, is fundamental unit of NS
- 1960s initial understanding of synaptic integration, <u>+</u> action potentials
- 1970s second messengers initially identified

2001	Now!
1990s	"Decade of the Brain"
1980s	cloning ofmany things
	multiple signals; neuromodulators as well as neurotransmitters beginning of cloning

See how compressed this is into the present!!

Focus of this course is on **cells/molecules/development/systems/behavior/memory**, or simply ALL of neurobiology! There are later courses offered by this Program covering EACH these same topics in much greater depth.

The basic morphology of a neuron



nucleus

dendrites TAPER while axons are quite uniform in diameter away from branch points

Far prettier <u>diagrams</u> are found in the introductory chapters of the texts, notably <u>Kandel</u>. The best <u>electron micrographs</u> in introductory chapters are in <u>Siegel</u> and <u>Purves</u>. Really nice <u>camera lucida drawings</u> are in <u>Zigmond</u>.

More anatomically accurate drawings in the texts show the complexity of neuronal shapes in more detail. While invertebrate neurons are frequently unipolar, only a few autonomic neurons in vertebrates show this morphology, with *pseudo-unipolar* and many variations of *bipolar* being the more common. Dendritic patterns are widely varied among *multipolar cells*, with spinal motorneurons and sympathetic ganglion neurons having dendrites rather close to the cell body, while the dendrites of a retinal bipolar cell cluster a short distance from the cell body, and the input end of a dorsal root ganglion can be over 1 meter from the cell body. In the CNS, the dendritic arbors can be very elaborate (the usually cited champion is the Purkinje neuron in the cerebellum). In the brain and spinal cord, functionally distinct types of incoming signaling can arrive at different dendritic regions, as shown for the hippocampal pyramidal cell; another good example is the parallel fibers for CBLM Purkinje neurons, and climbing and mossy fibers for the same cells. Similar pyramidal cells are frequently the output neurons from many regions of the cerebral cortex.

Since neurons are often burdened with establishing and then maintaining dendrites and axons that may have 100 times the net volume of the cell body and 1000 times the surface area, neurons are understandably specialized for secretion. Massive amounts of protein

synthesis are devoted to the production of membrane proteins, synaptic vesicles, and cytoskeletal proteins.

Neurons first, now the other 90% of the cells in the nervous system:

Neurons are outnumbered ~10:1 in the nervous system by glial cells. Smaller nerve fibers can often be swept together and are thus ensheathed as a group by glial cells.

The different glial cells which form these different coverings for neurons are shown and diagrammed in the text. Oligodendrocytes are small glial cells found the central nervous system, where they can produce myelin in white matter regions or surround many axons. Schwann cells are larger glial cells found in the peripheral nervous system (**PNS**), which form myelin. Astrocytes are believed to have several roles in the CNS, including passing nutrients from blood capillaries to neuronal soma, inducing endothelial cells to form the blood-brain barrier, and buffering the potassium (K+) ions extruded from axons during nerve conduction.

@#* There is a whole lecture on glial cells in a few weeks in this course.

Synapses as electrical or chemical \rightarrow vast majority in CNS, PNS are chemical

advantages of chemical synapses:

nearly as fast as electrical amplification can be very big blending (integration) possible big variety of postsynaptic effects due to differences in receptors K+ An-Na+, Cl-, Ca++

Electrical pattern changes as action potential travels down the axon to the synapse: **Depolarize:** [Ca⁺⁺] up: release <u>quanta</u> = vesicles = many NT molecules

So, why does the nervous system complicate the picture so much by using neurotransmitters

anyway? Like trying to use poker to heat up a kettle; **use a grenade!**

The big thing with **chemical neurotransmission** is to build in various **features**:

 <u>Safety</u> of transmission, as in skeletal neuromuscular case <u>10 - 25% NT is enough</u>
 <u>Integration</u> as in many CNS synapses, where the blending and summation are the most important.

3. <u>Timing</u> of immediate effects such as changes in ion fluxes **OR** multiple effects due to

second messengers; ALSO longer term trophic effects on the targets





Exocytosis is followed by **endocytosis**, so that plasma membrane does not expand indefinitely, and to replenish vesicle membrane pool. Endocytosis takes 1-10 sec, **may not involve clathrin**

Ca⁺⁺ entry can <u>locally</u> raise [Ca⁺⁺] 1000-fold, from <0.1 μ M up to 100 μ M or even higher, based on data from Ca⁺⁺-sensitive dyes. Release stimulated by Ca⁺⁺ > 1 μ M; release=vesicle fusion lags Ca⁺⁺ entry by only <u>60 μ sec</u>! And current estimates put the



distance from Ca⁺⁺ entry to release site at 13-20 nm (well <u>under</u> 1 vesicle diameter).

Release shows cooperative dependence on [Ca⁺⁺] (roughly 4th power of [Ca⁺⁺]). Estimates give 60 Ca⁺⁺ channels open for 1 vesicle fused, and CNS synapses often operate at <1 quantum. <u>But</u>, once secreted, the concentration of neurotransmitter in the synaptic cleft can be 10's of mM! <u>note</u>: **50-100 nm vesicle but 20 nm** **synaptic cleft!** This gives a strong <u>hit-or-miss</u> character to CNS transmission, with the concentration of NT being \geq 10 mM or zero!



So the picture is one of

constant recycling

of the components of the synaptic vesicle system. Let's make a **list** of some of the **key features and properties** of the components of the synaptic vesicle system, and then go over some of the evidence that the model is correct.



 $\frac{\text{Vesicles Background}}{\text{Average neuron has }10^6 \text{ to }10^7 \text{ vesicles}}$ but any given terminal has only 50 vesicles

Vesicles in brain are 10 μM or 7% of CNS protein $\ensuremath{\text{PLUS peptide granules}}$

~10,000 lipid molecules/vesicle

lipid:protein = 3:1 by weight (higher than other membranes)

so only have about 3 x 10⁶ Da of protein (3000 kDa) per vesicle

since proteins are either big (like channels) or multimers then figure \geq 200 kDa/function

---> 10-15 functions MAXIMUM in vesicle membrane

that estimate fits with reality

<20 different proteins
most are families of homologous proteins</pre>

protein families are quite distinct

very **high sequence conservation** among species **e.g.** <u>SV2</u> which is ~75% conserved elasmobranch fish->rat

IMPT concept; vesicles recycle ~100X; <u>FAST</u> perhaps 1 min **So vesicle lives only about 2 hours!!**

that's still slow for a given synapse with 50 vesicles ==> release only 1 vesicle/sec !!

How do vesicles get ready to work?

concept of a <u>coiled spring or loaded gun</u> spend time on how the spring gets coiled as well as release



"meet the proteins"

many are found in other cell types; differences in AMOUNT or in which member of a gene family expressed ******* SNAREs: t- and v-

other stuff in vesicles

ATP in CA and ACh vesicles; AscA in CA vesicles Often very high [divalent cation] of some type Enzymes; routing questions – proteins had to be built in at start of vesicle's life

Now that we've visited neurotransmitter release from the **cell biological** point of view, let's go back and look at a few of the **electrophysiological approaches** to neurotransmitter release.

As modern cell biologists, we don't think of it as surprising that vesicle docking and fusion is "leaky" – that there is a slow basal rate of fusions. These "miniature potentials" are in fact the electrical equivalent of the vesicles seen in the EM and isolated biochemically. The electrophysiological term is "quanta". When a presynaptic action potential arrives, there is a coordinated release of many quanta, and when the numbers are not too huge, recordings over many stimuli give the results shown here:

The distribution is called "Poisson" and the usual explanation for this result is that the probability of release of any one quantum is very low (true) and that the quanta are released independently (only partially true, sometimes not true).



The timing of the arrival of the presynaptic action potential, the entry of calcium, and the release of neurotransmitter were all worked out by Katz and Miledi in a truly elegant series of experiments. The basic answer is shown here.



1. presynaptic action potential (entry of Na+) excites

- 2. calcium conductance in terminal, leading to
- Ca++ entry (Ca++ current). This causes vesicle fusion for some of the docked vesicles, leading to
- 4. neurotransmitter release. Rapid diffusion across the synaptic cleft then allows the neurotransmitter to bind to the postsynaptic receptors and cause the opening [or closing] of ion channels. In the example diagramed, the postsynaptic effect is excitatory,
- 5. leading to an excitatory postsynaptic potential (EPSP)

The all-or-none (really all or little, remembering that the basal rate is not zero) release of neurotransmitter has another consequence. There is a threshold of <u>pre</u>synaptic membrane potential that has to be reached before the calcium conductances are activated. So below that level of <u>pre</u>synaptic depolarization, the <u>post</u>synaptic view is that "nothing happened". Likewise, above a certain amount of neurotransmitter release, there can be no further <u>post</u>synaptic response – the postsynaptic ion channels are passing enough current to reach the equilibrium potential for those ions, and more neurotransmitter will mean more postsynaptic membrane potential changes.



EXTRA STUFF NOT COVERED IN THE LECTURE

PLASMA MEMBRANE PUMPS and TRANSPORTERS

along axon Na/K and Na/Ca pumps; also Ca-ATPase important? often told "take gradients as constant". Is that really OK? ves, for a few AP take 2 μ axon with 15 gNa / μ^2 pass 10,000 ions/AP = 1 msec x 10^7 ions/sec = 10^4 ions/AP gives 0.5 mM rise in [Na⁺]_i per AP OR for Node of Ranvier with 1500 gNa / μ^2 , get Δ [Na⁺] = 50 mM right under Node!! so yes, treat as constant for a few AP but better get it pumped out !!!!!

makes a point we will see over and over

pump Na⁺ (plasma memb) or H⁺ (vesicle), using ATP and the rest of transport is usually downhill, riding the Na⁺ or H⁺ gradients

create the gradients using ATP --> PUMPS Na/K ATPase Vesicle H⁺ pump

use the gradients to move things "uphill" across membranes

Membrane NT uptake and precursors to NT

vesicular transporters all related to bacterial multidrug resistance transporter knockouts are usually fatal

Cannot increase filling vesicles with more cytoplasmic NT synthesis BUT certainly can have release of a $\frac{1}{2}$ - full vesicle

PROTEINS IN AND ON VESICLES, INVOLVED IN SECRETION

Example: Synapsin

only neurons, NOT endocrine cells; so far, only clear vesicles 52-74 kDa, 4 major isoforms, differ at COOH-terminals

major phosphoprotein in brain (0.4% of total)



Experiments: demonstrated with Mauthner cell and squid giant synapse inject synapsin and block secretion inject P'd synapsin and promote secretion

inject synapsin **antibody** and promote secretion
 inject CaM kinase and promote secretion
 isolate endogenous synapsin and show got P'd, using γ-[³²P]-ATP injection
 So de-phospho-synapsin is a major brake on secretion!!

Membrane measurements during secretion: the adrenal chromaffin cell model



time lags between increased $[Ca^{++}]_i$ and ΔC_m or CA secretion.

A <u>rate-limiting step in secretion is dissolution</u> of CA from vesicular matrix, NOT efflux from a small pore; may explain why ACh and Glu synapses are faster that CA synapses.

c:\mydocuments \teaching\meds370\lecture1-2001 08/28/2001

2:15 PM